

HILBERT'S THEOREM 90 AND ALGEBRAIC SPACES

STEFAN SCHRÖER

Revised version, 22 Oktober 2001

ABSTRACT. In modern form, Hilbert's Theorem 90 tells us that $R^1\epsilon_*(\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$, where $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{zar}}$ is the canonical map between the étale site and the Zariski site of a scheme X . I construct examples showing that the corresponding statement for algebraic spaces does not hold.

INTRODUCTION

Originally, Hilbert's Theorem 90 is the following number theoretical result [5]: Given a cyclic Galois extension $K \subset L$ of number fields, each $y \in L^\times$ of norm $N(y) = 1$ is of the form $y = x/x^\sigma$ for some $x \in K^\times$ and a given generator $\sigma \in G$ of the Galois group. More generally, Speiser [12] proved that $H^1(G, L^\times) = 1$ for arbitrary Galois extensions (compare the discussion in [8]).

The latter statement has a geometric interpretation: Each line bundle on the étale site of $\text{Spec}(k)$ is trivial. In this form, it admits a far-reaching generalization: If $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{zar}}$ is the canonical map from the étale site to the Zariski site of a scheme X , then $R^1\epsilon_*(\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ (see [9], page 124). The result entails, among other things, that the map of Picard groups $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is bijective, and that the map of Brauer groups $\text{Br}(X_{\text{zar}}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is injective.

It is natural to ask whether a similar statement holds for algebraic spaces instead of schemes. Recall that an *algebraic space* is the quotient $X = U/R$ of a scheme X by an étale equivalence relation $R \rightrightarrows X$. Here the quotient takes place in the topos $(\text{Sch})_{\text{ét}}^\sim$, that is, as a sheaf on the étale site.

Unfortunately, such a generalization does not hold. The goal of this paper is to construct counterexamples, that is, algebraic spaces X and invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules $\mathcal{L}$ such that the open subspaces $V \subset X$ trivializing $\mathcal{L}$ do not cover X . The first example is a nonseparated smooth 1-dimensional *bug-eyed cover* in Kollár's sense [7]. The second example is a nonnormal proper algebraic space obtained by identifying points on suitable nonprojective smooth proper schemes.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the Department of Mathematics of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for its hospitality, and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support. The author also thanks the referee for his interesting remarks.

1. LINE BUNDLES ON ALGEBRAIC SPACES

In this section we recall some basic facts on algebraic spaces and their line bundles. Let $(\text{Sch})_{\text{ét}}$ be the site of schemes endowed with the Grothendieck topology generated by the étale surjective morphisms, and $(\text{Sch})_{\text{ét}}^\sim$ be the corresponding topos

of sheaves. By definition, a sheaf $X \in (\text{Sch})_{\text{ét}}^\sim$ is an *algebraic space* if $X = U/R$ for some scheme U and some étale equivalence relation $R \rightrightarrows U$ such that the induced morphism $R \rightarrow U \times U$ is quasicompact [6].

Given an algebraic space X , let $\text{Ét}(X)$ be the category of algebraic X -spaces whose structure map $Y \rightarrow X$ is étale. The étale surjections $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ define a topology on $\text{Ét}(X)$, and we write $X_{\text{ét}}$ for the corresponding site. Let me give a down-to-earth description of sheaves $\mathcal{F}$ on this site. For each scheme U endowed with an étale map $U \rightarrow X$, we obtain via restriction a sheaf $\mathcal{F}_U$ on the étale site of étale U -schemes. If $f : U \rightarrow V$ is an X -morphisms, we have a map $\theta_f : \mathcal{F}_V \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{F}_U$. Such systems $(\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ are not arbitrary. Consider the following two conditions: (1) If $f : U \rightarrow V$ and $g : V \rightarrow W$ are X -maps, then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_W & \xrightarrow{\theta_{gf}} & (gf)_*\mathcal{F}_U \\ \theta_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ g_*(\mathcal{F}_V) & \xrightarrow{g_*(\theta_f)} & g_*(f_*\mathcal{F}_U) \end{array}$$

is commutative. (2) If $f : U \rightarrow V$ is étale, then the map $\theta_f^\sharp : f^{-1}\mathcal{F}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_U$ is bijective. Here the mapping $\theta_f^\sharp$ corresponds to θ_f with respect to the canonical adjunction $\text{Hom}(f^{-1}\mathcal{F}_V, \mathcal{F}_U) \simeq \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}_V, f_*\mathcal{F}_U)$.

Proposition 1.1. *The assignment $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ yields an equivalence between the category of sheaves on $X_{\text{ét}}$ and the category of systems $(\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ satisfying conditions (1) and (2).*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the site of étale X -schemes with the induced étale topology. By the Comparison Lemma ([3], Exposé III, Théorème 4.1), the inclusion $\mathcal{C} \subset X_{\text{ét}}$ induces an equivalence on the corresponding categories of sheaves. Now suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on $\mathcal{C}$. Then the system $(\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ satisfies condition (1) because $\mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf. If $f : U \rightarrow V$ is étale, then $\theta_f^\sharp$ is bijective because $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf in the étale topology, and condition (2) holds as well.

Conversely, given such a system, we define $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}_U)$. Indeed, this is a presheaf by condition (1), and a sheaf by condition (2). One easily checks that the functors $\mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ are inverse equivalences of categories. $\square$

For example, the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_U$, together with the maps $\theta_f : \mathcal{O}_V \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_U)$, correspond to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ of an algebraic space X . Similar, we have the sheaf of units $\mathcal{O}_X^\times$. The cohomology group $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) = H^1(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules.

Besides the étale topology, the category $\text{Ét}(X)$ carries the coarser *Zariski topology* as well. Here the covering families are the surjections of the form $\coprod X_i \rightarrow X$, where the $X_i \subset X$ are open subspaces, and we demand that $X_i \times_X X' \rightarrow X'$ remains an open embedding for any base change $X' \rightarrow X$. Write X_{zar} for the corresponding site. The sheaves on X_{zar} admit a similar description in terms of families $(\mathcal{F}_U, \theta_f)$ satisfying condition (1), and condition (2'), where we demand that $\theta_f^\sharp : f^{-1}\mathcal{F}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_U$ is bijective whenever $f : U \rightarrow V$ is of the form $U = \coprod V_i$ with open subschemes $V_i \subset V$. In particular, we have a structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{zar}}}$ and a unit sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{zar}}}^\times$. Let $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) = H^1(X_{\text{zar}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{zar}}}^\times)$ be the corresponding group of line bundles.

The identity functor on $\dot{\text{Et}}(X)$ is a continuous functor $\epsilon : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{zar}}$ of sites, and we have $\epsilon_*(\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}) = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{zar}}}$ by descent theory. So for each invertible $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{zar}}}$ -module $\mathcal{L}$, the canonical map $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \epsilon_*\epsilon^*\mathcal{L}$ is bijective, and we obtain an injection $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \subset \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Its isomorphism class lies in the subgroup $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \subset \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ if and only if there is a covering with open subspaces $Y_i \subset Y$ with $\mathcal{L}_{Y_i} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}$.*

Proof. The spectral sequence for the composition $\Gamma(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^\times) = \Gamma(X_{\text{zar}}, \epsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^\times)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) \longrightarrow H^0(X_{\text{zar}}, R^1\epsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^\times).$$

The condition precisely means that the image of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ under the canonical map $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow H^0(X_{\text{zar}}, R^1\epsilon_*\mathcal{O}_{X_{\text{ét}}}^\times)$ vanishes. The statement now follows from the exact sequence. $\square$

2. BUG-EYED COVERS

In this section, we use Kollár's bug-eyed covers to construct a smooth 1-dimensional *nonseparated* algebraic space X and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ such that the open subspaces $W \subset X$ trivializing $\mathcal{L}$ do not form a covering.

Fix a ground field k of characteristic $\neq 2$. Set $A = k[[T]]$ and $A' = k[[T^2]]$, and let $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $Y' = \text{Spec}(A')$ be the corresponding affine schemes. The inclusion $A' \subset A$ defines a flat double covering $p : Y \rightarrow Y'$. The open subset $U \subset Y$ given by the generic point is the locus where f is étale. The generator $\sigma \in G$ of the group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on A via $T^\sigma = -T$, which defines a free G -action on U . Consider the étale equivalence relation

$$R = \Delta_Y \amalg U \longrightarrow Y \times Y,$$

where the embedding of U is given by $U \xrightarrow{\text{id} \times \sigma} U \times U \subset Y \times Y$. Let $X = Y/R$ be the corresponding quotient sheaf in $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{ét}}^\sim$. By definition, X is a smooth algebraic space. It is nonseparated because the injection $R \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is not closed.

The map $p : Y \rightarrow Y'$ factors over X , and the induced projection $X \rightarrow Y'$ induces a bijection of points. The algebraic space X is a *bug-eyed cover* in Kollár's sense [7]. It is not a scheme. Otherwise, the morphism $X \rightarrow Y'$ would be an isomorphism by Zariski's Main Theorem, and $Y \rightarrow X$ would be both étale and ramified.

Proposition 2.1. *We have $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. The scheme Y is local, hence every invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{L}$ has $\mathcal{L}_Y \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$. Thus, $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is the cohomology of the complex

$$\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \xrightarrow{d_0} \Gamma(Y^2, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) \xrightarrow{d_1} \Gamma(Y^3, \mathcal{O}_X^\times).$$

Here Y^n are the n -fold fiber products over X . If $p_i : Y^{n+1} \rightarrow Y^n$ denotes the projection omitting the i -th factor, the differentials are $d_0(s) = p_0^*(s)/p_1^*(s)$ and $d_1(s) = p_0^*(s)p_2^*(s)/p_1^*(s)$.

Clearly, we have $Y^n = U^n \cup \Delta_Y$, where $U^n \cap \Delta_Y = \Delta_U$. Since the G -action is free on the open subset $U \subset Y$, we have a bijection

$$U \times G^n \longrightarrow U^{n+1}, \quad (u, g_1, \dots, g_n) \longmapsto (u, ug_1, \dots, ug_1g_2 \dots g_n).$$

In turn, we may identify the n -cochains $\Gamma(Y^{n+1}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ with the group of functions $c : G^n \rightarrow P^\times$ satisfying $c(0, \dots, 0) \in A^\times$. Here $P = k[[T]][T^{-1}]$ is the fraction field of $A = k[[T]]$. The differentials take the form

$$d_0(c)(g) = c(0)/c(0)^g \quad \text{and} \quad d_1(c)(g, h) = c(h)^g c(g)/c(gh),$$

conforming with the usual definition of group cohomology ([2], page 59). We have $d_0(c)(0) = 1$, and $d_0(c)(\sigma)$ is a power series of the form $\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 T + \lambda_2 T^2 + \dots$ with $\lambda_0 = 1$. One easily checks that a 1-cochain $c : G \rightarrow P^\times$ is a 1-cocycle if and only if $c(0) = 1$, and $p = c(\sigma)$ satisfies $p \cdot p^\sigma = 1$. Clearly, the 1-cocycle $c : G \rightarrow P^\times$ with $c(0) = 1$ and $c(\sigma) = -1$ is not a coboundary, so $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is nonzero. On the other hand, by Hilbert's Theorem 90, each $p \in P^\times$ with $p \cdot p^\sigma = 1$ is of the form $p = r/r^\sigma$ for some $r \in P^\times$. Writing $r = T^n s$ with $s \in A^\times$, we have $p = (-1)^n s/s^g$, and infer $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

The smooth 1-dimensional nonseparated algebraic space X is our first counterexample to Hilbert's Theorem 90 for algebraic spaces:

Theorem 2.2. *The canonical inclusion $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \subset \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is not surjective.*

Proof. The scheme Y is local, so the space of points for X has a unique closed point. Consequently, any Zariski covering of X contains a copy of X . So any line bundle on X_{zar} is trivial, that is, $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) = 0$. On the other hand, $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

3. NONNORMAL PROPER ALGEBRAIC SPACES

Fix an algebraically closed ground field k . In this section, we shall construct a *proper* algebraic space X and an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ such that the open subspaces $W \subset X$ trivializing $\mathcal{L}$ do not form a covering.

The starting point is a proper smooth k -scheme Y containing two irreducible closed curves $C_1, C_2 \subset Y$ such that $C_1 + C_2$ is numerically trivial. This implies that the generic points $\eta_i \in C_i$ do not admit any common affine neighborhood in Y . Examples of such schemes appear in [11], page 75. Obviously, they are nonprojective. Even worse, they do not admit embeddings into toric varieties ([13], Theorem A). Recall that the support $\text{Supp}(D) \subset Y$ of a Cartier divisor $D \in \text{Div}(Y)$ is the union of its positive and negative part. We have the following useful property:

Proposition 3.1. *Each $D \in \text{Div}(Y)$ with $D \cdot C_1 > 0$ and $C_1 \not\subset \text{Supp}(D)$ has $C_2 \subset \text{Supp}(D)$.*

Proof. Decompose $D = \sum n_i D_i$ into prime divisors with $n_i \neq 0$. Since $C_1 \not\subset D_i$, the intersection number $D_i \cdot C_1$ is the length of the scheme $D_i \cap C_1$, hence nonnegative. So there is at least one prime divisor with $D_i \cdot C_1 > 0$. It follows $D_i \cdot C_2 < 0$, hence $C_2 \subset D_i$. In other words, $C_2 \subset \text{Supp}(D)$. $\square$

Now fix two closed points $y_1 \in C_1$ and $y_2 \in C_2$. Let $Y' \subset Y$ be the reduced closed subscheme corresponding to $\{y_1, y_2\}$, and define an étale sheaf $X \in (\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{ét}}^\sim$ by the cocartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Spec}(k) & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Note that $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{ét}}^{\sim}$, being a topos, admits all colimits ([3], Exposé II, Theorem 4.1). Intuitively, X is obtained from Y by identifying the points $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. The sheaf X is not a scheme. Otherwise, an affine open neighborhood for the point $p(y_1) = p(y_2) \in X$ would give a common affine open neighborhood for the pair $y_1, y_2 \in Y$.

Proposition 3.2. *The étale sheaf X is a proper algebraic space.*

Proof. That X is an algebraic space follows immediately from [1], Theorem 6.1. Let me give a more direct argument as follows. Fix two copies $v'_1, v'_2 \in V'$ and $v''_1, v''_2 \in V''$ of $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, and set $V = V' \amalg V''$. Identifying $v'_1 \in V$ with $v''_2 \in V$ and $v'_2 \in V$ with $v''_1 \in V$, we obtain a scheme U . The group $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely on U by interchanging V' and V'' . Clearly, $X = U/G$ is the quotient of this action in the topos of étale sheaves. So $R = U \times_X U$ is nothing but $U \times G$, which is a scheme. Consequently, $X = U/R$ is an algebraic space.

The algebraic space X is separated because the embedding $Y \times G \rightarrow Y \times Y$, $(y, g) \mapsto (y, yg)$ is closed. As $Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is universally closed and $p : Y \rightarrow X$ is surjective, $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is universally closed as well. Therefore, X is proper. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *There is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection. Then the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{O}_Y^\times) \oplus k^\times \longrightarrow p_*(\mathcal{O}_{Y'}^\times) \longrightarrow 1$$

is exact. Indeed, one easily checks this, as in [4], Lemma 5.1, after base change with an affine étale cover $U \rightarrow X$. In turn, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_Y^\times) \oplus k^\times \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{Y'}^\times) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \oplus \text{Pic}(k) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(Y').$$

Being semilocal, the schemes $\text{Spec}(k)$ and Y' have no Picard groups. The cokernel for the map on the left is isomorphic to $k^\times$, and the result follows. $\square$

The proper algebraic space X is another counterexample to Hilbert's Theorem 90 for algebraic spaces:

Theorem 3.4. *The canonical inclusion $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) \subset \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ is not surjective.*

Proof. Choose an invertible $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M} \cdot C_1 > 0$. For example, $\mathcal{M}$ could be the invertible sheaf corresponding to the reduced complement of any affine open neighborhood for $y_1 \in Y$.

Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be the canonical map. According to Proposition 3.3, there is an invertible $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{L}$ with $\mathcal{M} = p^*(\mathcal{L})$. Suppose there is an open subset $W \subset X$ containing the point $p(y_1) = p(y_2)$ and trivializing $\mathcal{L}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is trivial on the open subscheme $p^{-1}(W) \subset Y$. By [10], Theorem 3.3, there is a Cartier divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X)$ representing $\mathcal{M}$ with support disjoint from $y_1, y_2 \in Y$. In particular, C_1 and C_2 are not contained in $\text{Supp}(D)$, contradicting Proposition 3.1. $\square$

Question 3.5. Does $\text{Pic}(X_{\text{zar}}) = \text{Pic}(X_{\text{ét}})$ at least hold for smooth proper algebraic spaces? What about the case that X is normal and proper?

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin: Algebraization of formal moduli II: Existence of modifications. Ann. Math. 91 (1970), 88–135.
- [2] K. Brown: Cohomology of groups. Grad. Texts Math. 87. Springer, Berlin, 1982.

- [3] A. Grothendieck et al.: Théorie des topos et cohomologie étale. Lect. Notes Math. 269. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [4] R. Hartshorne: Generalised divisors on Gorenstein schemes. K-Theory 8 (1994), 287–339.
- [5] D. Hilbert: The theory of algebraic number fields. Springer, Berlin, 1998.
- [6] D. Knutson: Algebraic spaces. Lect. Notes Math. 203. Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [7] J. Kollár: Cone theorems and bug-eyed covers. J. Algebraic Geom. 1 (1992), 293–323.
- [8] F. Lorenz: Ein Scholion zum Satz 90 von Hilbert. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 68 (1998), 347–362.
- [9] J. Milne: Étale cohomology. Princeton Mathematical Series, 33. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1980.
- [10] S. Schröer: Remarks on the Existence of Cartier divisors. Arch. Math. 75 (2000), 35–38.
- [11] I. Shafarevich: Basic algebraic geometry. 2: Schemes and complex manifolds. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [12] A. Speiser: Zahlentheoretische Sätze aus der Gruppentheorie. Math. Z. 5 (1919), 1–6.
- [13] J. Włodarczyk: Embeddings in toric varieties and prevarieties. J. Alg. Geom. 2 (1993), 705–726.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT, 44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

E-mail address: `s.schroer@ruhr-uni-bochum.de`